

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,162	FUJITA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sean Reilly	2153	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Sean Reilly (Examiner). (3) _____.
- (2) Dan Stanger (Applicant's Representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 October 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chellis et al. U.S. Patent Number 6,901,446 and Cannon et al. U.S. Patent Number 6,230,247.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner indicated that a 105 request had been mailed to Applicant requesting an English copy of Japanese Patent Application Publication No. 2002-222061. Applicant indicated that Matsunami et al. U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0099914 was an English equivalent of the requested Japanese patent application. Examiner indicated that claims 14-20 overcome the prior art of record, as authorized by SPE Vaughn 2144. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 1-13 to place the case in condition for allowance. Examiner agreed to make this amendment in an Examiner's amendment after a review of the new Matsunami et al. reference..